

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/990,075	11/21/2001		Shunsuke Shuto	UNIU42.001AUS	1492
20995	7590	04/24/2003			
KNOBBE N	MARTEN	IS OLSON & BE	EXAMINER		
2040 MAIN FOURTEEN	TH FLOC)R	KIELIN, ERIK J		
IRVINE, CA	IRVINE, CA 92614			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				2813	
				DATE MAILED: 04/24/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Uh _			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
,	09/990,075	SHUTO ET AL.				
· Office Action Summary	Examin r	Art Unit				
	Erik Kielin	2813				
Th MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the c v r sh et w	ith the corr spond nc addres	S			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of this will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this commul BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nication.			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>21</u>	November 2001 .					
	his action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under Disposition of Claims			erits is			
4) Claim(s) 1-13 is/are pending in the application	n.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	awn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on		IISapproved by the Examiner.				
If approved, corrected drawings are required in real 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex						
	xaniiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	on nationity under 25 LLC C	\$ 440(a) (d) an (6)				
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig a) All b) Some * c) None of:	in priority under 35 0.5.C.	9 119(a)-(d) or (i).				
	ts have been received					
1. Certified copies of the priority documen2. Certified copies of the priority documen		Application No				
<u></u>		·· ——				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priceapplication from the International But* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	_	e			
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest	ic priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(e) (to a provisional app	lication).			
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domes	* *					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152				

Application/Control Number: 09/990,075

Art Unit: 2813

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1, 2, 9, and 10, drawn to a method of manufacturing a homeotropic alignment liquid crystal film, classified in class 349, subclass 191.
 - II. Claims 3-6, 11-13, drawn to a homeotropic alignment liquid crystal film, and optical film and visual display made therefrom, classified in class 349, subclass 130.
 - III. Claims 7 and 8, drawn to a homeotropic alignment liquid crystalline composition, classified in class 252, subclass 299.01.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process, such as by thermally or mechanically fixing the aligned liquid crystal rather then by optically irradiating. Also, the liquid crystal could be fixed in an unaligned state and then aligned followed by thermally or physically re-orienting the liquid crystal in an aligned state such as by rubbing.

Note that a "product by process" claim is directed to the product per se, no matter how actually made, *In re Hirao*, 190 USPQ 15 at 17 (footnote 3). See also *In re Brown*, 173 USPQ 685; *In re Luck*, 177 USPQ 523; *In re Fessmann*, 180 USPQ 324; *In re Avery*, 186 USPQ 161; *In*

Application/Control Number: 09/990,075

Art Unit: 2813

re Wertheim, 191 USPQ 90 (209 USPQ 554 does not deal with this issue); In re Marosi et al, 218 USPQ 289; and particularly In re Thorpe, 227 USPQ 964, all of which make it clear that it is the patentability of the final product per se which must be determined in a "product by process" claim, and not the patentability of the process, and that an old or obvious product produced by a new method is not patentable as a product, whether claimed in "product by process" claims or not. Note that applicant has the burden of proof in such cases, as the above case law make clear.

- 3. Inventions I and II are related to III as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct from each other if they are shown to be separately usable. In the instant case, invention III has separate utility such as use in global pressure sensing of wings and automobiles in wind tunnels. See MPEP § 806.05(d).
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 5. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required among the three Groups is different restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

Application/Control Number: 09/990,075

Art Unit: 2813

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erik Kielin whose telephone number is 703-306-5980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 - 19:30 on Monday through Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Carl Whitehead, Jr., can be reached at 703-308-4940. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9318 for regular communications and 703-872-9319 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Erik Kielin April 23, 2003